

Communication patterns Kari Systä, 26.10.2021

Architectural principles of REST


- Client-server architecture
- Statelessness
 - Everybody gets same answer
 - Repeated operation (GET, PUT) does not have an effect
- Cacheability
 - For performance and scalability
- Layered system
 - Allows proxies etc
- Uniform interface

Uniform interface

- Everything is a resource that is fetched, modified, created, deleted
 - CRUD = CREATE, READ, UPDATE, DELETE
 - HTTP verbs: GET, PUT, POST, DELETE
 - Resource manipulation through representations
- Resource identification in requests
 - URIs
 - Separated from representation (XML, JSON,...)
 - MIME-types
- Self-descriptive messages
- Hypermedia as the engine of application state (<u>HATEOAS</u>)



Back to old picture



12.10.2022



Corner-stones of REST

- Client-server architecture
 - Separation of concerns
- Statelessness
 - no client context being stored on the server between requests
- Cacheability
- Layered system
 - Client does not know if connected to other end directly
- Uniform interface

Do not call your design for previous exercise REST!



Uniform representation

- Resource identification in requests
 - URIs
 - Separated from representation (XML, JSON,...)
- Resource manipulation through representations
- Self-descriptive messages
- Hypermedia as the engine of application state (<u>HATEOAS</u>)
- Application to HTTP
 - URL's
 - GET, PUT, POST, DELETE
 - MIME-types




But the "calls" can be laborous

REST VS RPC



gRPC – RPC over HTTP





Example API description

```
service Greeter {
  // Sends a greeting
  rpc SayHello (HelloRequest) returns (HelloReply) {}
  // Sends another greeting
  rpc SayHelloAgain (HelloRequest) returns (HelloReply) {}
// The request message containing the user's name.
message HelloRequest { string name = 1; }
// The response message containing the greetings message
HelloReply { string message = 1; }
```



Call in JavaScript and Python

```
def run():
    channel = grpc.insecure_channel('localhost:50051')
    stub = helloworld_pb2_grpc.GreeterStub(channel)
    response = stub.SayHello(helloworld_pb2.HelloRequest(name='you'))
    print("Greeter client received: " + response.message)
    response = stub.SayHelloAgain(helloworld_pb2.HelloRequest(name='you'))
    print("Greeter client received: " + response.message)
```



And C++

```
std::string SayHelloAgain(const std::string& user) {
 // Follows the same pattern as SayHello.
 HelloRequest request;
  request.set_name(user);
 HelloReply reply;
 ClientContext context;
 // Here we can use the stub's newly available method we just added.
 Status status = stub_->SayHelloAgain(&context, request, &reply);
 if (status.ok()) {
    return reply.message();
 } else {
    std::cout << status.error_code() << ": " << status.error_message()</pre>
              << std::endl;</pre>
   return "RPC failed";
```



GraphQL(examples from

https://medium.com/tech-tajawal/backend-for-frontend-using-graphql-under-microservices-5b63bbfcd7d9)

```
    GraphQL request

POST http://127.0.0.1/graphql

    Payload

query {accounts {id, name, photo}}

    Response

 "data":
  "accounts": [ {
    "name": "Mena Meseha",
    "photo":
       "http://...com/photo.jpg"
```




Let's analyze some claims of the previous source

- 1. Data Acquisition: REST lacks scalability and GraphQL can be accessed on demand. The payload can be extended when the GraphQL API is called.
- 2. API calls: REST's operation for each resource is an endpoint, and GraphQL only needs a single endpoint, but the post body is not the same.
- 3. Complex data requests: REST requires multiple calls for nested complex data, GraphQL calls once, reducing network overhead.
- 4. Error code processing: REST can accurately return HTTP error code, GraphQL returns 200 uniformly, and wraps error information.
- 5. Version number: REST is implemented via v1/v2, and GraphQL is implemented through the Schema extension.

12.10.2022 ssss 14



How about external calls?





API gateway pattern

https://microservices.io/patterns/apigateway.html

Problem

- How do the clients of a Microservices-based application access the individual services?
- The granularity of APIs provided by microservices is often different than what a client needs and too fine grained.
- Different clients need different data.
- Network performance is different for different types of clients.
- Partitioning into services can change over time and should be hidden from clients
- Services might use a diverse set of protocols, some of which might not be web friendly Solution
- Implement an API gateway that is the single entry point for all clients. The API gateway handles requests in one of two ways. Some requests are simply proxied/routed to the appropriate service. It handles other requests by fanning out to multiple services.



RECALL Interface segregation principle

"many client-specific interfaces are better than one general-purpose interface."

"Make fine grained interfaces that are client specific"

"Clients should not be forced to depend upon methods they do not use"

- Big system with many dependencies = small change causes changed everywhere
- Large interfaces are split to smaller and role-base interfaces.
 - ⇒changes do not affect everybody
 - ⇒New features are easier to add
 - ⇒Interfaces are easier to learn

12.10.2022



Other Concerns

Application architecture patterns

- Which architecture should you choose for an application?
 Decomposition
- How to decompose an application into services?
 Data management
- How to maintain data consistency and implement queries?
 Transactional messaging
- How to publish messages as part of a database transaction?
 Testing
- How to make testing easier?
 Deployment patterns
- How to deploy an application's services?

Cross cutting concerns

- How to handle cross cutting concerns?
- Communication patterns



Message queue approach

12.10.2022 SSSS 19



Message-bus instead of HTTP

- Challenges of REST and RPC: increased network operations, tight service coupling
- Message bus helps to define how services communicate, service discovery reduces operational complexity
- Asynchronous messaging leads to
 - loosed coupling
 - More complex logic (async a cousin of parallelism)
- Actually, there are multiple options
 - RPC, REST, Asynchronous message, application-specific protocols



Message-bus instead of HTTP

- Challenges: increased network operations, tight service coupling
- Message bus helps to define how services communicate, service discovery reduces operational complexity
- Asynchronous messaging leads to
 - loosed coupling
 - More complex logic (async is a cousin of parallelism)
- Actually, there are multiple options
 - RPC, REST, Asynchronous message, application-specific protocols





The message bus approach



Message bus middleware for loose coupling

Common understanding of the data. (Common data model)







12.10.2022 SSSS 22



RabbitMQ


- An example of message queue technology
- Can be used to implement various architectures

12.10.2022 ssss 23



Examples of RabbitMQ use


https://www.rabbitmq.com/getstarted.html




12.10.2022 SSSS 24



Publish-subscribe






12.10.2022 ssss 25



Message queue




12.10.2022 ssss 26



An example of topic-based communication


(adopted from https://www.rabbitmq.com/tutorials/tutorial-five-python.html)



12.10.2022 SSSS 27



RabbitMQ – steps in practice






Comparison



Consequences

	Independent development	Independent deployment	Minimum centralized management
REST			
gRPC			
Message queue			





Next exercise

You create a bigger system of several processes and message queue infrastructure

Grading policy:

- maximum 6 points are given (total of the course will be about 50)
- missing the deadline: points reduced by 0.5 points / day
- how well the requirements are met: 2p
- following the good programming and docker practices: 2p
- quality of the document: 2p


Deadlines:

• for full points: 09.11

for any points: 21.11

12.10.2022 ssss 32





12.10.2022 ssss 33



Behavior

• ORIG publishes 3 messages to topic *my.o*:

```
MSG_1
(Wait for 3 seconds)
MSG_2
(Wait for 3 seconds)
MSG_3
```

IMED

Every time IMED receives a message from topic my.o:

IMED waits for 1 second

After waiting, IMED publishes "Got {received message}" without quotes to topic my.i

For example:

```
Got MSG 1
```

OBSE

On any message from any of the topics:
builds a string "{timestamp} Topic {topic}: {message}"
without quotes
{timestamp} must be in the format YYYY-MMDDThh:mm:ss.sssZ (ISO 8601)
Time zone is UTC
{topic} is the topic that delivered the message
{message} is the message body
example:
2020-10-01T06:35:01.373Z Topic my.o: MSG_1
writes the string into a file in a Docker volume

If OBSE is run multiple times, the file must be deleted/cleared on startup

HTTPSERV

When requested, returns content of the file created by OBSE (Nothing else)

Port: 8080 Example: 2020-10-01T06:35:01.373Z Topic my.o: MSG_1 2020-10-01T06:35:01.973Z Topic my.i: Got MSG_1



Returning

Source code of your application

Docker Compose file (YAML)

All Docker files

Any other files required to build and run the system

A document in which you cover at least

- Perceived (in your mind) benefits of the topic-based communication compared to request-response (HTTP)
- Your main learnings

```
Tampere University
```

Testing

```
$ git clone <the git url you gave>
$ docker-compose build --no-cache
$ docker-compose up -d
(Wait for at most 30 seconds...)
$ curl localhost:8080
<output should follow the requirements>
$ docker-compose down
```